

Evaluation of Physical and Cooking Characteristics of Five Improved Lima Beans

Kyeremateng Daniel Owusu¹, Coleman Fred Newman^{2,*}, Agyare-Gyimah Gabriel²

¹Department of Food Science and Technology, Methodist University College Ghana, Wenchi, Ghana

²Department of Agriculture and Agribusiness, Methodist University College Ghana, Wenchi, Ghana

Email address:

danokyeremateng@gmail.com (Kyeremateng D. O.), colemanf27@yahoo.com (Coleman F. N.),

agyairegyimahgabriel@gmail.com (Agyare-Gyimah G.)

*Corresponding author

To cite this article:

Kyeremateng Daniel Owusu, Coleman Fred Newman, Agyare-Gyimah Gabriel. Evaluation of Physical and Cooking Characteristics of Five Improved Lima Beans. *World Journal of Food Science and Technology*. Vol. 2, No. 2, 2018, pp. 38-43. doi: 10.11648/j.wjfst.20180202.13

Received: May 10, 2018; Accepted: June 4, 2018; Published: July 6, 2018

Abstract: *Phaseolus lunatus* commonly known as lima bean is one of the important leguminous crops in the genus *phaseolus* noted for its nutritional and medicinal properties. However, the current improved varieties released by Crop Research Institute (CRI) have no documental records on their physical and cooking properties. This informed the study to evaluate the physical and cooking characteristics of 5 improved lima beans. The lima beans were obtained from Crop Research Institute, Fumesua-Ghana and analyses on physical and cooking properties were carried out. Seed length ranged from 19.12 to 25.50 mm, breadth from 12.41 to 15.41 mm with significant differences among the accessions. However, thickness which varied from 5.10 to 6.58 mm showed no statistical significant difference. The thousand (1000) seed weight significantly varied from 886.00 to 1311.65 g. All the five lima bean accessions belonged to the Andean gene pool or Meso-American large seeded type. Length/breadth & water uptake ratio, and bulk density were within the range of 1.49 to 1.76, 1.15 to 1.81 g, and 0.59 to 0.62 g/ml, respectively. The cooking time of all the accessions ranged from 81.00mins to 91.50mins with no significant differences. Cooked Length-Breadth and water uptake ratios had minimum and maximum values of 1.39 to 1.78 and 1.15 to 1.31, respectively. Seed hydration capacity and index had values between 18.98-23.05 g/seed & 7.14-10.45; swelling capacity ranged between 17.98-20.38ml/seed and swelling index recorded values between 3.88-5.10. The physical and cooking properties of legumes are important for the design of equipment necessary for food processing, packaging, post-harvest handling and also for determination of cooking quality. The underutilized legumes have physical and cooking characteristic which make them potentially ideal for commercial cultivation, local food uses and for industrial food processing.

Keywords: *Phaseolus lunatus*, Cooking Characteristics, Sensory Properties

1. Introduction

Phaseolus lunatus commonly known as lima bean is one of the important leguminous crops in the genus *phaseolus* noted for its nutritional and medicinal properties. They are a type of legume native to South American. Lima bean is also underutilized legume grown in Ghana, Nigeria and warmer areas of the World [1]. It has amino acid profile similar to soybean and cowpea [2]. In Ghana and other developing countries, legumes are the second largest sources of human food after cereals. They are the fourth important legume food in Ghana after cowpea, groundnut and bambara groundnut. The high protein content as well as the presence of the amino

acid lysine makes them a suitable cheap source for fortification of cereal diets in most economies in transition [3]. Lima beans like all other legumes are food resources that offer various optimums nutritional and health benefits. The protein content of legume grains range from 17 to 40 g/100 g, much higher than that in cereals (7-11.8 g/100 g) and approximately equal to the protein content of meat 18-25 g/100 g [3].

Despite its promising nutrition composition, lima bean has been found by some researchers to contain anti-nutritional factors (ANFs) that could be injurious to the health of consumers [4]. However, these anti-nutritional constituent could be eliminated or reduced by some processing methods. For instance, Cooking inactivates or reduces the levels of

anti-nutrients such as trypsin inhibitors and flatulence-causing oligosaccharides, resulting in improved nutritional quality [5]. It also renders legumes edible and ensures they have acceptable sensory properties [6]. Cooking time that gives an indication of cooking quality is one of the most important factors responsible for consumer's choice for a particular food. Of the major limitations that make lima bean like other legumes uneconomical and unacceptable to consumers is its longer cooking time. Besides cooking time, the assessment of texture is also critical to the determination of cooking quality and plays an important role in determining consumer acceptance of cooked legumes [7]. Some examples of cooking characteristics are: Cooking time, cooked length–breadth ratio, Water uptake ratio, Hydration capacity and hydration index, Swelling capacity and swelling index.

Apart from cooking characteristics, physical properties of seeds are also important for the design of equipment necessary for harvesting and post-harvest handling, transportation and processing of agricultural produce into different consumable and marketable food items [8]. A physical property is any property that is measurable whose value describes a state of a physical system. The changes in the physical properties of a system can be used to describe its transformations or evolutions between its momentary states. The physical properties of legumes mostly include Water uptake ratio, Length/breadth ratio, 1000-seed weight, Seed dimensions, Bulk density and true density. Various types of unit operations such as harvesting, threshing, cleaning, grinding, sorting, transportation and packaging are designed on the basis of the physical properties [9]. In order to combat food insecurity in Ghana and Africa as a whole, efforts are being made by the Crops Research Institute of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Ghana, to produce new bean varieties with improved characteristics such as high yield, disease resistance and high nutritional value. Some of the legume varieties under scientific investigations are the lima bean accessions. Hence, there are no documental records on their physical and cooking properties. This necessitated the research to evaluate the above two properties to make information available for farmers, consumers and food processors as a whole. Utilization of food usually depends on available information. Thus, the main purpose of the study was to evaluate the physical and cooking characteristics of 5 improved lima beans obtained from Crop Research Institute of Ghana.

The study was intended to achieve the following specific objectives: (i) to determine the physical properties of five accessions of lima bean and (ii) to evaluate the cooking characteristics of five improved lima bean.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Five improved lima beans (*Phaseolus lunatus*) accessions designated: Nkurakan black (NB01), Bososo cream black (BC02), Mampong white (MW03), Oseikrom cream (OsC04),

and Ohwum Mampong cream brown (OMC05), were collected from Crop Research Institute (CSIR), Kumasi Fumesua Ghana. The beans were selected and cleaned manually. It was ensured that the seeds were free of dirt, broken and immature ones, and other foreign materials.

2.2. Seed Dimensions

Hundred randomly selected seeds were used to measure length (L), breadth (B) and thickness (T), three principal dimensions which are in the three mutually perpendicular directions using A Vernier caliper reading 0.01 mm.

2.2.1. 1000-Seed Weight

1000-seed weight was determined by counting one hundred seeds manually and weighing. The obtained values were then multiplied by a factor 10 to get 1000-seed weight [10].

2.2.2. Length/Breadth Ratio

Ten (10) randomly selected seeds were observed for length/breadth ratio by simply dividing calculated length by calculated breadth of the 10 cooked seeds was determined by dividing the cumulative length to the cumulative breadth of cooked seeds.

2.2.3. Water Uptake Ratio

Thirty (30) grams of seeds was cooked in 400ml of double distilled water for minimum cooking time of 15 minutes at 60°C. The cooked seeds were then removed; drained and surface water on seeds was removed by using filter paper. The samples were weighed and the water uptake ratio was calculated as the ratio of weight gained after cooking to weight before cooking.

2.2.4 Bulk Density

Bulk density was determined according to the method of [11] and expressed as g/L. The seeds were weighed before grinding and it was then weighed after grinding. The ground samples were measured in measuring cylinder.

2.3. Cooking Time

Cooking time was determined according to the method of [12]. The seeds were weighed and cooked at 65°C with 550ml of distilled water.

2.3.1. Cooked Length–Breadth Ratio

The cumulative length and breadth of 10 seeds were measured after cooking for minimum cooking time. The length–breadth ratio of the 10 cooked seeds was determined by dividing the cumulative length to the cumulative breadth of cooked seeds.

2.3.2. Water Uptake Ratio

Thirty (30) grams of seeds was cooked in 400ml of double distilled water for minimum cooking time of 15 minutes at 65°C. The cooked seeds were then removed; drained and surface water on seeds was removed by using filter paper. The samples were weighed and the water uptake ratio was calculated as the ratio of weight gained after cooking to weight before cooking.

2.3.3. Hydration Capacity and Hydration Index

Seeds (10 g) were soaked in 100 ml of distilled water in a measuring cylinder and covered with an aluminum foil. The seeds were left to soak for 24 h in room temperature (25°C);

drained and excess water was removed using a tissue paper. The weight of the swollen seeds was measured. Hydration capacity and hydration index were calculated [13].

$$\text{Hydration capacity} = \frac{\text{Weight after soaking} - \text{Weight before soaking}}{\text{Number of seed}}$$

$$\text{Hydration index} = \frac{\text{Hydration capacity of seed}}{\text{Weight of one seed}}$$

2.3.4 Swelling Capacity and Swelling Index

The volume of 5g of seeds was predetermined using a graduated cylinder and they were subsequently soaked

overnight in distilled water. The volume of the seeds after soaking was then measured. Swelling capacity and the swelling index were determined [13].

$$\text{Swelling capacity} = \frac{\text{Volume after soaking} - \text{Volume before soaking}}{\text{Number of seed}}$$

$$\text{Swelling index} = \frac{\text{Swelling capacity of seed}}{\text{Number of seed}}$$

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures were used to analyze all data using the statistical package for social package for social sciences (SPSS) version 21 for window. Mean separation was performed by the LSD test. A level of $p < 0.05$ was used to indicate significant differences.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Physical Properties of the Improved Lima Bean Seeds

Knowledge of physical properties is imperative for the design of equipments which are used for processing of seeds in the industries which involves harvesting, threshing, cleaning, separation, transportation and packaging. Various physical parameters evaluated for different varieties of lima beans were seed length, breadth, thickness, thousand (1000) seed weight, length breadth ratio, water uptake ratio and bulk density.

Seed length ranged from 19.12mm for MW03 to 25.50mm for OMC05. With regard to seed breadth, accessions had values varying from 12.49mm to 15.41mm. The lowest and highest breadths were found in MW03 and NB01. Values of seed thickness ranged from a minimum of 5.10mm for NB01 to a maximum of 6.58mm for OMC05 (table 1). There were significant differences among the accessions in relation to seed dimensions except seed thickness. The seed size, shapes and colors of the five accessions is depicted in appendix 1. The results for seed dimensions are higher than those from [14] who have reported length, minor diameter and major diameter in the range of 7.73–7.67 mm, 4.51–4.86 mm, and 5.75–6.30 mm, respectively. This means that lima beans if

widely cultivated will make more food than cowpea which at long run will reduce food insecurity in the hunger threatened areas in Africa. The thousand (1000) seed weight differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) among all the five accessions with a range from 886.00g for MW03 to 1311.65g for OMC05 (table 1). The results are far higher than those reported by [14] for three cowpea cultivars with 1000-seed weight in the range of 131.6–151.6 g. Additionally, [15] have reported 1000 seed mass for certain cowpea seeds in the range between 140.44 g and 192.81 g. The seed weight of lima bean variety could be a useful criterion for determining suitability for a particular end-use application. For example, varieties with large seeds would be preferred for canning, since this would mean less quantity of beans would be required to attain a high cooked bean weight. Furthermore, classification based on seed weight may be used to determine conformity to standards during quality control of raw materials. [16; 17] identified five morphotypes of lima bean on the basis of hundred-seed weight, seed length, and seed width. These types include Big lima (100–110 g, 25 mm long, 14 mm wide), Sieva (30 - 45.3 g, 12 mm long, 8 wide), Potato (35.5 g, 9 mm long, 8 mm wide), Potato-Sieva (36.3 g, 11 mm long, 8 mm wide) and Sieva-Big (77.5 g, 17 mm long, 11 mm wide). The dimensions of lima beans and their 1000-seed weight give indication of the space the flour would occupy as well as their bulkiness. Since the dimensions and 1000-seed weight of the five lima bean cultivars were significantly different, suggesting that equal quantity of each variety would occupy unequal space and the cost of packaging and transportation would be different if based on space occupied.

Table 1. Seed dimensions and 1000-seed weight of the lima bean accessions.

Names of varieties	Mean seed size (mm)			1000-seed weight (Grams-g)
	Length (mm)	Breadth (mm)	Thickness (mm)	
NB01	23.36±0.1 ^a	15.41±0.1 ^a	5.10±0.0 ^{ab}	1124.95±1.6 ^a
BC02	20.72±0.1 ^b	13.98±0.1 ^b	5.73±0.0 ^{abc}	1169.05±2.2 ^b
MW03	19.12±0.0 ^c	12.41±0.1 ^{cd}	6.18±0.1 ^{abcd}	886.00±1.10 ^c
OsC04	19.96±0.1 ^d	12.49±0.0 ^d	5.77±0.0 ^{abc}	973.95±3.3 ^d
OMC05	25.50±0.0 ^e	14.51±0.1 ^e	6.58±0.3 ^{bcde}	1311.65±2.1 ^e

Values in the same column having different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

3.2. Length-Breadth, Water Uptake Ratio and Bulk Density of the Lima Bean Seeds

The results for the above parameters are presented in table 2. Length-breadth ratio varied from 1.49 for BC02 to 1.76 for OMC05. The water uptake ratio and bulk density was

observed from 1.15-1.81g and 0.59-0.62g/ml, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences among the improved lima bean varieties. [14] have reported length, minor diameter and major diameter in the range of 7.73–7.67mm, 4.51–4.86mm, and 5.75–6.30mm, respectively for cowpea seeds.

Table 2. Length breadth ratio, water uptake ratio and bulk density of the lima bean seeds.

Names of varieties	Length breadth ratio	Water uptake ratio (g)	Bulk density (g/ml)
NB01	1.53±0.0 ^{abc}	1.15±0.0 ^{ab}	0.59±0.0 ^a
BC02	1.49±0.0 ^{abc}	1.19±0.0 ^{ab}	0.62±0.0 ^a
MW03	1.54±0.0 ^{abc}	1.31±0.1 ^a	0.60±0.0 ^a
OsC04	1.61±0.0 ^{bcde}	1.81±0.0 ^{ab}	0.60±0.0 ^a
OMC05	1.76±0.0 ^{bcde}	1.37±0.1 ^{bcd}	0.60±0.0 ^a

Values in the same column having different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

3.3. Cooking Characteristics of the Improved Lima Beans

Cooking process is the combination of heating and hydration. Cooking characteristics of five improved lima beans were studied by measuring cooking time, cooked length-breadth ratio, water uptake ratio, hydration capacity, hydration index, swelling capacity and swelling index (Table 3). The cooking time for the five (5) accessions of lima beans was within the range of 81.00mins to 91.50mins with no significant difference except for BC02. These results are slightly higher than the results of [14] who reported cooking time of 57 min, 65 min and 84 min for three cowpea varieties viz *Nhyira*, *Tona* and *Adom*, respectively. Cooking renders legumes edible and ensures their acceptable sensory properties [6]. The environment in the field and in postharvest storage influenced cooking times of pulses [18]. A shorter cooking time means, the bean will cook faster while longer cooking time implies it will take bean longer time to cook. Short cooking time is desirable as it reduces duration, energy used in cooking as well as save labour cost.

Cooked length-breadth and water uptake ratio was within the minimum and maximum values of 1.39-1.78 and 1.15-1.37, respectively. There was no statistical difference ($p < 0.05$) among the accessions in terms of Cooked length-breadth and water uptake ratio. Hydration capacity and hydration index were observed from 18.98 to 23.05g/seed and 7.14 to 10.45g/seed, respectively (Table 3). Again, the values obtained were not significant ($p < 0.05$) except for OMC05 in relation to hydration capacity. [19] have reported hydration capacity of 0.03 g/seed and hydration index of 0.9 for cowpea which shows lower values in comparison to lima

bean varieties. Higher hydration capacity has positive correlation with swelling capacity. According to [20], hydration of grains is a process that consists of soaking them in water in order to increase their moisture content. Water binding capacity's data are useful for assessing the technological suitability of bean protein materials in food applications. High water binding capacity is attributed to lose structure of starch polymers while low values indicates the compactness of the structure [21]. Application of high temperatures during the hydration process, can increase, reduce or maintain the equilibrium moisture content without variation, temperatures higher than 60°C can drastically change the properties of grain compositions such as starch and proteins [22]. In the preparation of baked products, extruded snacks and mash, water-binding capacity is an important parameter to be considered.

Swelling capacity and swelling index of the five improved lima beans were in the range of 17.98-20.38ml/seed and 3.30-5.10, respectively (Table 3). All the accessions showed no significant difference. [19] has reported swelling capacity and swelling index values as 0.053 mL/seed and 0.001 mL/seed, respectively for cowpea. Swelling capacity gives an indication of increase in the volume upon absorption of water. It is a very important parameter when changes in volume after processing enhance the acceptability of the final product. [23] reported that swelling power is a measure of hydration capacity, because the determination is a weight measure of swollen starch granules and their occluded water. Food eating quality is often connected with retention of water in the swollen starch granules.

Table 3. Cooking characteristics of five improved lima bean.

Parameter	NB01	BC02	MW03	OsC04	OMC05
Cooking time (min)	81.50±3.5 ^{ab}	91.50±2.1 ^{bc}	84.00±2.8 ^{ab}	83.00±4.2 ^{ab}	81.00±2.8 ^{ab}
Cooked length breadth ratio	1.49±0.0 ^a	1.57±0.0 ^a	1.42±0.0 ^a	1.39±0.1 ^a	1.78±0.3 ^a
Water uptake ratio	1.15±0.0 ^{ab}	1.19±0.0 ^a	1.31±0.1 ^a	1.18±0.0 ^a	1.37±0.1 ^{ab}
Hydration capacity (g/seed)	18.98±0.7 ^a	19.74±0.5 ^a	19.67±0.5 ^a	19.81±0.1 ^a	23.05±0.0 ^b
Hydration index	7.14±1.0 ^a	8.65±0.4 ^a	10.08±2.7 ^a	10.45±3.1 ^a	9.52±3.7 ^a
Swelling capacity (ml/seed)	19.40±2.0 ^a	19.63±1.2 ^a	18.04±0.9 ^a	17.98±0.3 ^a	20.38±3.0 ^a
Swelling index	3.88±0.4 ^a	4.91±0.3 ^{ab}	3.30±0.3 ^{ab}	4.04±0.6 ^a	5.10±0.8 ^{ab}

Values in the same column having different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

4. Conclusions

The knowledge of physical and cooking properties of legumes is important because it provides as much data required for the design of various processing machines, processes and control in developing a new consumer product and in evaluating and retaining the quality of final products as well as very essential for the design of components of any machine. Seed length ranged from 19.12 to 25.50 mm, breadth from 12.41 to 15.41 mm with significant differences among the accessions. However, thickness which varied from 5.10 to 6.58 mm showed no statistical significant difference. The thousand (1000) seed weight significantly varied from 886.00 to 1311.65 g. All the five lima bean accessions belonged to the Andean gene pool or Meso-American large seeded type. Length/breadth & water uptake ratio, and bulk density were within the range of 1.49 to 1.76, 1.15 to 1.81 g, and 0.59 to 0.62 g/ml, respectively. The cooking time of all the accessions ranged from 81.00 to 91.50mins with no significant differences. Cooked Length-Breadth and water uptake ratios had minimum and maximum values of 1.39 to 1.78 and 1.15 to 1.31, respectively. Seed hydration capacity and index had values between 18.98-23.05 g/seed & 7.14-10.45; swelling capacity ranged between 17.98-20.38ml/seed and swelling index recorded values between 3.88-5.10. All the accessions had higher cooking time in comparison with some cowpea varieties grown in Ghana. The higher hydration and swelling capacity of the improved lima beans make them useful in food products that require much water.

5. Recommendation

1. Since, Lima beans take longer time to cook; it is recommended that a study be carried out to look at the effect of longer cooking on the nutritional composition of the lima beans.
2. Finally, the greatest impediment to utilizing underutilized legumes as a food and feed is the presence of anti-nutrients. It is therefore imperative to do further experiment to assess the quality and quantity of anti-nutrients.

References

- [1] MacDonald L and J. Low (1984). *Fruit and Vegetables*. Evans Brothers, London, 137pp.

- [2] Aleto, V. A. & O. O. Aladetimi (1989). "Compositional Evaluation of Some Cowpea Varieties and Some Under Utilized Edible Legumes in Nigeria". *Die Nahrung* 33 (1989) 10: 999-1007.
- [3] Kaur, S., Singh, N., Sodhi, N. S., and Rana, J. C. (2009). Diversity in properties of seed and flour of kidney bean germplasm. *Food Chemistry*, 117, 282-289.
- [4] Adeparusi, E. O and Ajayi, A. D. (Adeparusi 1999). Among the several methods used in assessing protein quality in feed, blood evaluation is rarely used.
- [5] Wang, N., Hatcher, D. W., Gawalko, E. J., (2008). Effect of variety and processing on nutrients and certain anti-nutrients in field peas (*Pisum sativum*). *Food Chem.* 111, 132-138.
- [6] Bourne, M. C. (1982). Food texture and viscosity. Concept and measurement. Academic Press Inc, New York. Chandrasher, U., Lalitha, B., Rajamal-Devadas, P., 1981. Evaluation of protein quality of raw, roasted and autoclaved legumes supplemented with sulphur-containing amino acids. *Indian J. Nutr. Diet.* 18, 283-288.
- [7] Stanley, D. W., Wu, X., Plhak, L. C., 1989. Seed coat effects in cooked reconstituted bean texture. *J. Texture Stud.* 20, 419-429.
- [8] Hamid, S., Muzaffar, S., Wani, I. A., Masoodi, F. A., Bhat, M. M. (2014). Physical and cooking characteristics of two cowpea cultivars grown in temperate Indian climate. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*. doi: 10.1016/j.jssas.2014.08.002.
- [9] Yalcin, I. and Orzarlan, C. (2004). Physical properties of vetch seed. *Journal of Biosystem Engineering*, 88 (4), pp 507-512.
- [10] AACC (2000). Approved methods of the AACC international. Methods 44-15A, 56-35, 76 13, and 08-16 (tenth ed.). St. Paul, MN: The Association.
- [11] Wani, I. A., Sogi, D. S., Gill, B. S., (2013a). Physicochemical and functional properties of flours from three Black gram (*Phaseolus mungo* L.) cultivars. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 48, 771-777.
- [12] Wani, I. A., Sogi, D. S., Gill, B. S., (2013b). Physical and cooking characteristics of black gram (*Phaseolus mungo* L.) cultivars grown in India. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 48, 2557-2563.
- [13] Adebawale, Y. A., Adeyemi, A. and Oshodi, A. A. (2005). Variability in physicochemical and antinutritional attributes of six *Mucuna* species. *Food Chem.* 89: 37-48.
- [14] Appiah, F., Asibuo, J. Y. and Kumah, P. (2011). Physicochemical and functional properties of bean flours of three cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) varieties in Ghana. *Afr. J. Food Sci.* 5: 100-104.

- [15] Sobukola, O. P., Abayomi, H. T., 2011. Physical properties and rehydration characteristics of different varieties of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) Seed. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 35 (3), 299-307.
- [16] Lioi, L. (1994). Morphotype relationships in lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) deduced from variation of the evolutionary marker phaseolin. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 41: 81-85.
- [17] Esquivel, M., Castineiras, L. and Hammer, K. (1990). Origin, classification, variation and distribution of Limalima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) in the light of Cuban material. *Euphytica* 49: 89-97. Esquivel, M., Castiñeiras, L., Lioi, L.
- [18] Berry, M., Wiesinger, J., Nchimbi -Msolla, S., Miklas, P., Porch, T., Fourie, D., and Cichy, K. (2016). Breeding for a fast cooking bean: A study of geno-types across environments to determine stability of the cooking time trait in *Phaseolus vulgaris* Bean Improvement Cooperative, Annual Report, 33-34.
- [19] Tresina, P. S., Mohan, V. R., (2012). Physico-chemical and anti-nutritional attributes of gamma irradiated *Vigna unguiculata* L. subsp. *unguiculata* seeds. *Int. Food Res. J.* 19 (2), 639-646.
- [20] Miano, A. C and Augusto, P. E. D (2018). The Hydration of Grains: A Critical Review from Description of Phenomena to Process Improvements. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12328>
- [21] Nwokocha, L. M. and Peter, A. W. (2011). Carbohydrate Polymers. 84: 395-401.
- [22] Fracasso A. F, Frizon C. N. T, de Matos Jorge L. M, Jorge R. M. M. (2015). Hydration kinetics of transgenic soybeans. *Acta Sci-Techol* 37: 141-7.
- [23] Sreerama, Y. N., Sashikala, V. B., Pratapa, V. M., and Singh, V. (2012). Nutrients and antinutrients in cowpea and horse gram flours in comparison to chickpea flour: evaluation of their flour functionality. *Food Chemistry*, 131, 462-468.