

Sharpness of the Segre's Upper Bound for the Regularity Index of Fat Points

Phan Van Thien

Department of Mathematics, University of Education, Hue University, Hue City, Vietnam

Email address:

pvthien@hueuni.edu.vn

To cite this article:

Phan Van Thien. (2025). Sharpness of the Segre's Upper Bound for the Regularity Index of Fat Points. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal*, 14(2), 24-28. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.pamj.20251402.12>

Received: 11 March 2025; **Accepted:** 25 March 2025; **Published:** 6 May 2025

Abstract: In this paper we show some results about estimating the regularity index of fat points and study when the Segre's upper bound is sharp for arbitrary fat points in \mathbb{P}^n . We show that the Segre's upper bound is sharp for fat points where the points are constrained by geometric conditions in \mathbb{P}^n (Corollary 2.1 and Proposition 2.1). We show that if $s \leq 4$, the Segre's upper bound is sharp for s arbitrary fat points in \mathbb{P}^n (Theorem 3.1), and the Segre's upper bound is sharp for 5 equimultiple fat points in \mathbb{P}^n (Theorem 3.2). We also show that if $s \geq 6$ and $n \geq 2$, then there exists always a set of s fat points in \mathbb{P}^n whose the Segre's upper bound is not sharp (Proposition 3.1). We predict that Segre's upper bound is sharp for 5 non-equimultiple fat points, but we can not prove this prediction nor we can find an example to show that the prediction is incorrect.

Keywords: Segre's Upper Bound, Fat Points, Regularity Index

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, let \mathbb{P}^n be the n -dimensional projective space over K . Let P_1, \dots, P_s be s distinct points in \mathbb{P}^n , and let m_1, \dots, m_s be s positive integers. If \wp_1, \dots, \wp_s are the defining prime ideals in $R = K[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ corresponding to the points P_1, \dots, P_s , we let

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\}$$

be the zero-scheme defined by the ideal $I = \wp_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \wp_s^{m_s}$. We may view \mathcal{Z} as fat points in \mathbb{P}^n , and write $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

The ring R/I is the homogeneous coordinate ring of \mathcal{Z} , it is graded, $R/I = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} (R/I)_t$. For each t , the t -th graded part $(R/I)_t$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space. The function

$$H_{R/I}(t) = \dim_K(R/I)_t$$

is called the Hilbert function of R/I (or of \mathcal{Z}), we also denote $H_{R/I}(t)$ by $H_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$. The ring R/I has the multiplicity to be

$e(R/I) = \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{m_i+n-1}{n}$. It is well known that $H_{R/I}(t)$ strictly increases until it reaches the multiplicity $e(R/I)$, and it keeps constant thereafter. The number

$$r(R/I) = \min\{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_{R/I}(t) = e(R/I)\}$$

is called the regularity index of R/I (or of \mathcal{Z}), we also denote $r(R/I)$ by $r(\mathcal{Z})$.

It is difficult to estimate $r(\mathcal{Z})$, so one tries to find an upper bound for it. There have been results in finding upper bounds for $r(\mathcal{Z})$ (see [1]-[6], [8]-[16]).

For a rational number b , denote by $[b]$ the greatest integer less than or equal to b . In [12] N.V. Trung (and, independently, G. Fatabbi and A. Lorenzini in [6]) conjectured that

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) \leq \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z})$$

where

$$\text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}) = \max\{T_j(\mathcal{Z}) \mid j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

$$T_j(\mathcal{Z}) = \max \left\{ \left[\frac{\sum_{P_i \in \beta} m_i + j - 2}{j} \right] \mid \beta \text{ is a linear } j\text{-subspace in } \mathbb{P}^n \right\}.$$

The number $Seg(\mathcal{Z})$ is called the Segre’s bound because Segre [10] proved the conjecture for case where no three points are collinear in \mathbb{P}^2 . The above conjecture was successfully proven by Nagel in general case ([11, Theorem 5.3]). The Segre’s upper bound is called to be sharp if $r(\mathcal{Z}) = Seg(\mathcal{Z})$.

In this paper we show some results about estimating the regularity index $r(\mathcal{Z})$ and study when the Segre’s upper bound is sharp for arbitrary fat points $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

2. Preliminaries

From now on, denote by $\wp_j \subset R$ the defining prime ideal of $P_j \in \mathbb{P}^n$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be fat points. Then

$$T_1(\mathcal{Z}) \leq r(\mathcal{Z}) \leq Seg(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. By the result of Nagel and Trok [11, Theorem 5.3] we have

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) \leq Seg(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Let γ be the linear 1-subspace of \mathbb{P}^n such that

$$T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \sum_{P_i \in \gamma \cap X} m_i - 1.$$

Put $J = \bigcap_{P_i \in \gamma \cap X} \wp_i^{m_i}$. Since the points of $\gamma \cap X$ lie in a line, by [4, Corollary 2.3]

$$r(R/J) = \sum_{P_i \in \gamma \cap X} m_i - 1.$$

Put $I = \bigcap_{P_i \in X} \wp_i^{m_i}$. By [13, Lemma 3.3] we have

$$r(R/J) \leq r(R/I).$$

Note that $r(R/I) = r(\mathcal{Z})$. From above results we get $T_1(\mathcal{Z}) \leq r(\mathcal{Z}) \leq Seg(\mathcal{Z})$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be fat points such that P_1, \dots, P_s are collinear. Then

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = Seg(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. We have $T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = m_1 + \dots + m_s - 1 = Seg(\mathcal{Z})$. By the above lemma

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = Seg(\mathcal{Z}).$$

The points P_1, \dots, P_s in \mathbb{P}^n are called to be in linearly general position if no $i + 2$ points of them lie on a linear i -subspace for every $i < n$. If P_1, \dots, P_{n+3} are in linearly general position in \mathbb{P}^n , then there exists a rational normal curve

of \mathbb{P}^n containing these points (see [7, Theorem 1.18]). This implies the following remark.

Remark 2.1. If $s \leq n + 3$ and P_1, \dots, P_s are in linearly general position in \mathbb{P}^n , then there exists a rational normal curve of \mathbb{P}^n containing these points.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be fat points such that all P_j are on a linear r -subspace $\alpha \cong \mathbb{P}^r$, $s \leq r + 3$. Consider α as a r -dimensional projective space containing the points $P_{1\alpha} := P_1, \dots, P_{s\alpha} := P_s$, and consider $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha = \{(P_{1\alpha}, m_1), \dots, (P_{s\alpha}, m_s)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ as fat points. Denote by $r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha)$ the regularity of \mathcal{Z}_α in \mathbb{P}^r . If no $i + 2$ points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$ are on a linear i -subspace for every $i < r$, then

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) = Seg(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) = Seg(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. By [14, Theorem 3.6]

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha).$$

Since $s \leq r + 3$ and no $i + 2$ points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$ are on a linear i -subspace for every $i < r$, by Remark 2.1 there exists a rational normal curve of \mathbb{P}^r passing through these points. By [3, Proposition 7]

$$r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) = \max\{T_1(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha), T_r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha)\}.$$

In this case we have

$$T_i(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) = T_i(\mathcal{Z}), i = 1, \dots, r,$$

$$T_i(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) < T_1(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha), i = 2, \dots, r - 1,$$

and

$$T_r(\mathcal{Z}) > T_i(\mathcal{Z}), i = r + 1, \dots, n.$$

So, $Seg(\mathcal{Z}) = \max\{T_1(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha), T_r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha)\} = Seg(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) = r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha)$.

3. Sharpness of the Segre’s Upper Bound

We see that in Corollary 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, the Segre’s upper bound is sharp for fat points $\{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ where the points P_1, \dots, P_s are constrained by geometric conditions in \mathbb{P}^n . In this section we study the sharpness of Segre’s upper bound for fat points $\{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\}$ where the points P_1, \dots, P_s are arbitrary.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be s arbitrary fat points. If $s \leq 4$, then

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = Seg(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. There are the following 4 cases for s .

Case $s=1$. It is well known that $r(R/\wp_1^{m-1}) = m_1 - 1 = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Case $s=2$. Then P_1, P_2 lie on a line, by Corollary 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = m_1 + m_2 - 1 = T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Case $s=3$. If P_1, P_2, P_3 lie on a line, then by Corollary 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 - 1 = T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

If P_1, P_2, P_3 do not lie on a line, then by Proposition 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Case $s=4$. We consider the three following cases:

1) If P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 lie on a line, then by Corollary 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 - 1 = T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

2) If P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 do not lie on any linear 2-subspace of \mathbb{P}^n , then by Remark 2.1 there exists a rational normal curve of \mathbb{P}^n passing through P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 . By [3, Proposition 7]

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

3) If P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 do not lie on a line, but they lie on a linear 2-subspace $\alpha \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. We assume that $\alpha \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Consider two following subcases for $\{P_1, \dots, P_4\}$:

Case 3.a). There are 3 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_4\}$ on a line, say l : Since there is only one point not on l , we have

$$T_1(\mathcal{Z}) \geq \max \left\{ \sum_{P_i \in l} m_i - 1, \sum_{P_i \notin l} m_i - 1 \right\}$$

and

$$T_2(\mathcal{Z}) = \left\lfloor \left(\sum_{i=1}^s m_i \right) / 2 \right\rfloor.$$

Then $T_1(\mathcal{Z}) \geq T_2(\mathcal{Z})$ and $T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z})$. By Lemma 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Case 3.b). There are not 3 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_4\}$ on a line: Note that P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 lie on the linear 2-subspace α , so in this case by Proposition 2.1 we get

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Fat points $\{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\}$ is called equimultiple if $m_1 = \dots = m_s = m$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{(P_1, m), \dots, (P_5, m)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be 5 arbitrary equimultiple fat points. Then

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof. Consider the two following cases for $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$:

Case 1. $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ do not lie any linear 3-subspace of \mathbb{P}^n : Then $n \geq 4$ and these points P_i are in linearly general

position of \mathbb{P}^n . So, by Proposition 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}) = \max \left\{ 2m - 1, \left\lfloor \frac{5m + n - 2}{n} \right\rfloor \right\} = 2m - 1.$$

Case 2. $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ lie on a linear 3-subspace of \mathbb{P}^n , say β : Then we consider three following subcases.

Case 2.1: There are no 4 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ on a linear 2-subspace: By Proposition 2.1 we get

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = r(\mathcal{Z}_\beta) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}_\beta)$$

$$= \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}) = \max \left\{ 2m - 1, \left\lfloor \frac{5m + 1}{3} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Case 2.2. There are 4 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ on a linear 2-subspace, say α , and $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\} \not\subset \alpha$: If there are 3 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ on a line, then $T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = 3m - 1 = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z})$. By Lemma 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

If there are no 3 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ on a line, then $T_2(\mathcal{Z}) = 2m = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z})$. Put

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(P_i, m) | P_i \in \alpha\}.$$

By Proposition 2.1 we have $r(\mathcal{U}) = r(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{U}) = 2m$. By [13, Lemma 3.3] we have $r(\mathcal{U}) \leq r(\mathcal{Z})$. By [11, Theorem 5.3] we have $r(\mathcal{Z}) \leq \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z})$. Therefore

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = r(\mathcal{U}) = 2m = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Case 2.3. P_1, \dots, P_5 lie on a linear 2-subspace, say α : If there are 3 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ on a line, then $T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = 3m - 1 = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z})$. By Lemma 2.1

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

If there are no 3 points of $\{P_1, \dots, P_5\}$ on a line, then by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) = r(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}_\alpha) = \left\lfloor \frac{5m}{2} \right\rfloor = \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 show that if $s \leq 5$, the Segre's upper bound is sharp for any set of s equimultiple fat points in \mathbb{P}^n . But this is not still true for $s \geq 6$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $n \geq 2$. If $s \geq 6$, then there exists always s equimultiple fat points $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) < \text{Seg}(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Proof.

Let α be a linear 2-subspace of \mathbb{P}^n and let l_1, l_2 be two distinct lines on α . Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{(P_1, m), \dots, (P_s, m)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be s equimultiple fat points such that $P_1, \dots, P_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \in l_1$, $P_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}, \dots, P_{s-1} \in l_2 \setminus l_1$, and $P_s \notin l_1 \cup l_2$. We have

$$T_1(\mathcal{Z}) = \sum_{P_i \in \ell_1} m_i - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{sm}{2} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

$$T_2(\mathcal{Z}) = \left\lfloor \frac{sm}{2} \right\rfloor > T_i(\mathcal{Z}), \quad i = 3, \dots, n.$$

So,

$$Seg(\mathcal{Z}) = \left\lfloor \frac{sm}{2} \right\rfloor. \tag{1}$$

Put $P_s = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $J = \cap_{i=1}^{s-1} \varphi_i^m$. For $j = 1, 2$, since $P_s \notin l_j$, there is a hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^n , say L_j , containing the line l_j and avoiding P_s . We coincide the hyperplane L_j with the linear form in $K[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ defining it. Since L_1 contains $P_1, \dots, P_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}$, we have

$$L_1^m \in \cap_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} \varphi_i^m.$$

Since L_2 contains $P_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}, \dots, P_{s-1}$, we have

$$L_2^m \in \cap_{i=\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{s-1} \varphi_i^m.$$

These imply that for every monomial M in X_1, \dots, X_n , $\deg(M) = i, i = 0, \dots, m - 1$, we have

$$L_1^m L_2^m M \in J.$$

Since L_j does not contain P_s , we can write $L_j = X_0 + H_j$ for some $H_j \in (X_1, \dots, X_n) = \varphi_s$, $j = 1, 2$. Therefore,

$$(X_0 + H_1)^m (X_0 + H_2)^m M \in J.$$

This implies $X_0^{3m-1-i} M \in J + \varphi_s^{i+1}, i = 0, \dots, m - 1$, because $H_1, H_2 \in \varphi_s$ and $M \in \varphi_s^i$. By [12, Lemma 2.2]

$$r(R/(J + \varphi_s^m)) \leq 3m - 1. \tag{2}$$

Put $\mathcal{Y} = \{(P_1, m), \dots, (P_{s-1}, m)\}$. Then $r(R/J) = r(\mathcal{Y})$,

$$T_1(\mathcal{Y}) = \sum_{P_i \in \ell_1} m_i - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{sm}{2} \right\rfloor - 1,$$

$$T_2(\mathcal{Y}) = \left\lfloor \frac{(s-1)m}{2} \right\rfloor > T_i(\mathcal{Y}), \quad i = 3, \dots, n.$$

So, $Seg(\mathcal{Y}) = \left\lfloor \frac{sm}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$. By [11, Theorem 5.3] we have $r(\mathcal{Y}) \leq Seg(\mathcal{Y})$. Hence

$$r(R/J) = r(\mathcal{Y}) \leq Seg(\mathcal{Y}) = \left\lfloor \frac{sm}{2} \right\rfloor - 1. \tag{3}$$

Put $I = J \cap \varphi_s^m$. Then $r(\mathcal{Z}) = r(R/I)$. By [3, Lemma 1]

$$r(R/I) = \max\{m - 1, r(R/J), r(R/(J + \varphi_s^m))\}. \tag{4}$$

Since $s \geq 6$ and $m \geq 1$, from (2), (3), (4) and (1) we get

$$r(\mathcal{Z}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{sm}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 < Seg(\mathcal{Z}).$$

Now we consider 5 fat points $\mathcal{V} = \{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_5, m_5)\}$

$\subset \mathbb{P}^n, n \geq 2$, and \mathcal{V} is not equimultiple. If P_1, \dots, P_5 are in linearly general position in \mathbb{P}^n , then by Proposition 2.1 we get $r(\mathcal{V}) = Seg(\mathcal{V})$. If P_1, \dots, P_5 are not in linearly general position in \mathbb{P}^n and $T_1(\mathcal{V}) = Seg(\mathcal{V})$, then by Lemma 2.1 we get $r(\mathcal{V}) = Seg(\mathcal{V})$. In case of P_1, \dots, P_5 are not in linearly general position in \mathbb{P}^n and $T_1(\mathcal{V}) < Seg(\mathcal{V})$ we predict that

$$r(\mathcal{V}) = Seg(\mathcal{V}),$$

but we cannot prove that the prediction is correct, nor can we find an example to prove that the prediction is wrong.

Acknowledgments

We thank the referees for useful comments on the manuscript. Following a reviewer's suggestions, we will study the Minimum limit of the regularity index of fat points and will study the non-sharpness of the Segre's upper bound later.

ORCID

0000-0003-3649-9598 (Phan Van Thien)

Conflicts of Interest

The author declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Ballico E., Dumitrescu O. and Postinghel E., *On Segre's bound for fat points in \mathbb{P}^n* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 220 (2016), 2307-2323, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2015.11.008>
- [2] M. C. Brambilla and E. Postinghel, *Towards Good Postulation of Fat Points, One Step at a Time*, Boll. dell'Unione Mat. Italiana (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40574-025-00468-5>
- [3] M. V. Catalisano, N. V. Trung and G. Valla, *A sharp bound for the regularity index of fat points in general position*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), 717-724, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2160111>
- [4] E. D. Davis and A. V. Geramita, *The Hilbert function of a special class of 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay graded algebras*, The Curves Seminar at Queen's, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. 67 (1984), 1-29.
- [5] G. Fatabbi, *Regularity index of fat points in the projective plane*, J. Algebra 170 (1994), 916-928, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1994.1370>
- [6] G. Fatabbi and A. Lorenzini *On a sharp bound for the regularity index of any set of fat points*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 161 (2001), 91-111, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049\(00\)00083-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049(00)00083-9)

- [7] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry*, (1992), Springer-Verlag, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2189-8>
- [8] I. B. Jafarloo and G. Malara, *Regularity and symbolic defect of points on rational normal curves*, *Periodica Math. Hung.* 87 (2023) 508–519, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-023-00531-8>
- [9] N. D. Nam, T. G. Nam, *On ultragraph Leavitt path algebras with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*, *Comm. Algebra* 51 (2023) 3671–3693, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2023.2187216>
- [10] B. Segre, *Alcune questioni su insiemi finiti di punti in geometria algebrica*, *Atti. Convegno. Intern. di Torino* 1961, 15–33.
- [11] U. Nagel and B. Trok, *Segre's regularity bound for fat point schemes*, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore*, Vol. XX (2020), 217–237, <https://doi.org/10.2422/2036-2145.201702-008>
- [12] P. V. Thien, *Segre bound for the regularity index of fat points in \mathbb{P}^3* , *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* 151 (2000), 197–214, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049\(99\)00055-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049(99)00055-9)
- [13] P. V. Thien, *Regularity index of $s + 2$ fat points not on a linear $(s - 1)$ -space*, *Comm. Algebra* 40 (2012), 3704–3715, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2011>
- [14] P. V. Thien, *On invariant of the regularity index of fat points*, *J. of Algebra and Its Appl.*, Vol. 22 No. 10 (2023), 2350225, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498823502250>
- [15] P. V. Thien and T. N. Sinh, *On the regularity index of s fat points not on a linear $(r - 1)$ -space, $s \leq r + 3$* , *Comm. Algebra*, 45 (2017), 4123–4138, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2016.1222395>
- [16] N. V. Trung and G. Valla, *Upper bounds for the regularity index of fat points with uniform position property*, *J. Algebra* 176 (1995), 182–209, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1995.1239>